

Code of Ethics and Conduct of Scientific Research and Publications  
Researchers and Teachers of UBB

(Developed by the wording of Law 206/27 May 2004 on good conduct in scientific research, development

Technology and Innovation)

Under Law no. 206 of 27 May 2004 on good conduct in research scientific, technological development and innovation, Senate UBB (UBB), adopted this Code (including Appendix):

CHAPTER 1

General Provisions

Article 1. This Code of Ethics and Conduct of research and govern-innovation (RDI) of UBB (including scientific publications), in all its phases : (1) elaboration of RDI (2) performance of RDI activities, (3) publication and dissemination of theoretical and / or empirical (ie experimental) of CDI.

Article 2.

- (1) Ethics RDI is based on a set of moral principles and procedures for their compliance;
- (2) moral principles and procedures for compliance are united in their Code of ethics and professional conduct of research and development staff, developed by the state for research and development;
- (3) These principles determine good moral conduct in business UBB CDI.

Article 3.

- (1) R & D activity must be conducted with respect for human beings dignity and suffering to animals, to be prevented or minimized;
- (2) Good Conduct RDI must be conducted with care and restoring the natural environment and ecological balance, ensuring protection their aggression against any products of science and technology.
- (3) conflicting data, theoretical and experimental design differences, differences the interpretation of data, differences of opinion are factors specific activity CDI and not deviations from good behavior in RDI.

Article 4. The formulation and implementation of ethical standards in the BBU will meet:

- (1) national and international regulations to which Romania adhered;
- (2) ethics regulations generally applicable, related to the protection of human, animal and environmental protection;
- (3) rules of conduct specific domestic and international, applying domain specific CDI;
- (4) Charter UBB.

A

Article 5. The CDI project is considered deviations from good conduct RDI following:

- (1) Submitting false information in applications for grants or funding;
- (2) lack of information the research team, before starting the project on to: (a) the salaries, (b) liability, (c) co-authors, (d) rights research results, (e) sources of funding and associations;
- (3) non-disclosure of conflicts of interest;
- (4) CDI project is not approved by the Ethics Committee of the unit specific part of the project director (from the Faculty UBB).

Article 6. Good conduct in carrying out RDI activities includes:

- (1) Hiding or removing unwanted results;
- (2) Producing results;
- (3) Replacement of fictitious data results;
- (4) deliberately distorted interpretation of the results and conclusions deformation;
- (5) Misappropriation of research funds;
- (6) Failure and / or failure to store results, and recording and / or incorrect storage of results;

(7) Lack of objectivity in the assessment and failure to comply with privacy;

Article 7. Publication and dissemination CDI includes:

- (1) plagiarism results or publications of other authors;
- (2) Presentation deliberately distorted the results of other authors;
- (3) not awarded paternity proper work;
- (4) Publication or repeated funding same results as novelty scientific.

## CHAPTER 2

Ethics Committee of the Research Council of UBB

Article 8. For coordination and enforcement of the rules of moral conduct and professional R & D activities in all their stages, the Commission established Ethics in Scientific Research Council of UBB. Council President

Research in UBB is the chairman of the Ethics Commission.

Article 9. Ethics Committee of Scientific Research Council of BBU has the following tasks:

- (1) Set the application of ethical principles specific to the RDI UBB;
- (2) the application and compliance with legal provisions UBB employees on moral and professional standards of conduct;
- (3) formulates opinions and recommendations regarding ethical issues raised by developments in science and knowledge;
- 2
- (4) Analyze the cases before them (or their own initiative) on violations rules of conduct and make recommendations for settlement and / or application of sanctions by UBB management;
- (5) Coordinate activities of the Ethics Committee of the Faculty UBB. In business aspect of CDI, the Ethics Committees of the Faculties UBB must approve any project that runs CDI in UBB and / or financial resources, infrastructure and / or UBB human;
- (6) Performs other duties established by the Senate UBB.

Article 10. If deviations from good behavior, notified in writing (or on their own initiative Commission), evidence-based, people or institutions known, the procedure followed by Ethics Committee of the Research Council of BBU includes the following steps:

- (1) Information in writing to the person / people complained about the start investigation, the reasons and the evidence;
- (2) Examination by the Ethics Committee of the observations, defenses and any other evidence presented at incriminated, the Commission may also hear people which are able to provide explanations in question;
- (3) Make recommendations to the head of the institution or establishment.

Article 11. Person found guilty by the Ethics Commission may apply to National Ethics Council, which verifies the complaint and determine within 30 days verdict, proposals and recommendations to the head of the institution or establishment.

Article 12. For deviations from good behavior in RDI, established and proven Scientific Research Council Ethics Committee proposes UBB by triggering legal procedure for employment of the relevant disciplinary and enforcement following sanctions:

- (1) removal of the person / people in the project team;
- (2) change of the contact;
- (3) withdrawal and / or correct any published work by violating of conduct;
- (4) written reprimand;
- (5) demotion;
- (6) Suspension from office;
- (7) Dismissal.

## CHAPTER 3

Final Provisions

Article 13. This Code of Ethics and will be fined Deontological continuously in line with national and international field.

## ANNEX

The purpose of this Code, the following terms are defined as follows:

### Fraud in science

Deliberate action of producing, falsification, plagiarism or disposal illegitimate research results.

### Manufacturing data

Registration and submission of data from imagination, not obtained working methods used in research.

### Falsification

Falsification of research materials, equipment, processes or results, omitting data or results such as to distort the results

### Research.

### Plagiarism

Assimilating ideas, methods, procedures, technologies, outputs or texts of a person, regardless of which way they were obtained, disclosing personal creation. Therefore, plagiarism is defined as a which was accepted - or part - from someone else and presented as personal creation (DEX). Plagiarism is both a legal problem and a ethical (see Law no. 8/1996 on copyright and rights related, for detailed information).

### Plagiarism

plagiarism is a concept recently introduced practice RDI activities. By reference to the concept of plagiarism plagiarism would be defined as: "... something I we learned - or part - of ourselves and we presented personal creation ... "Obviously in this context the concept of plagiarism is meaningless, some authors arguing that it would not be discussed. Many universities have decided not to regulate it because, given that interest science is to disseminate as much knowledge results ( even by several publications and / or different sources to reach different populations), interpretation of this concept as a deviation from ethics could be a brake on achieve this fundamental objective science. In this context it may become a problem only when violating copyright. Recognizing these arguments, it must be said on the other hand, the repeated presentation of same results lead us to obtain unfair advantages (multiple funding the same research, the appearance of publications number, etc..) in this context could become a problem plagiarism Ethics especially when: (1) does not mention the new work old work and / or (2) the reader is cautioned that the text / results were published.

4

Have made it clear that although this time, unlike plagiarism, is not considered a crime (except that when

plagiarism copyright violation) is not known definitively that violation of ethics, it can distort the RDI by the specified the above. In this sense, the set of recommended international best practices to avoid plagiarism (writing ethics - "ethical-writing"), assimilated and UBB, requires:

a Compliance copyrighted texts when reuse previously published and / or results already obtained;  
A caution the reader that the text / results have been published;  
Quoting a previous work in new construction ( works new works derived, republishing, etc..).

This can be done by informing publishers and / or publishers about reprint / duplication and transposition visible republishing / duplication CV authors and / or publications involved in the agreement reprint / replication ( in printed form, on site, etc..). Duplication accepted the conditions, which distorts RDI is include:

Republication / duplication of work in local magazines

international nature magazines - spores to accessibility  
and find a new audience;

Republication / duplication of an article from one language to another  
language;

The texts published in magazines / newspapers culture and / or opinion and  
attitude (except the need to respect copyright if  
applicable).

Note: These rules on plagiarism apply from

UBB Senate approves them. Their retroactive application is redundant, in  
conditions under which customs areas ( publication of texts resources to access a broad public)  
recommended that

today is called a potential deviation from ethics.

Conflict of interest

Incompatibility situation where there is a person who has an interest

influencing personal impartiality and objectivity of its activities

evaluation, monitoring, implementation and reporting activities of CDI;

private interest includes any advantage to the person concerned,

husband / wife, relatives or blueberries (including relationship godfather-fin), to the degree of  
including the fourth, or institution to which it belongs.

Note: UBB Senate approved the meeting dated 07.04.2008